

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/627,558		07/28/2000	Thomas J. Herder	COS99070	3287	
25537	7590	05/05/2006		EXAMINER		
MCI, LLC		NIXI	BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J			
1133 19TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2134		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/627,558	HERDER, THOMAS J.	HERDER, THOMAS J.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Christopher J. Brown	2134			

The MAIL	.ING DATE of this comm	unication appea	rs on the cover shee	et with the co	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 4	/20/2006 FAILS TO PLAC	E THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOV	VANCE.	•
this application places the appl	led after a final rejection, b , applicant must timely file ication in condition for allow Continued Examination (RC	one of the followi wance; (2) a Noti	ng replies: (1) an ame ce of Appeal (with app	endment, affic peal fee) in c	davit, or other eviden ompliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛛 The period f	or reply expires <u>3</u> months from	n the mailing date of	of the final rejection.			
no event, ho	or reply expires on: (1) the ma wever, will the statutory period	I for reply expire lat	er than SIX MONTHS fro	om the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONT	ote: If box 1 is checked, check HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION	ON. See MPEP 706	5.07(f).			•
have been filed is the da under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is set forth in (b) above, if	be obtained under 37 CFR 1. ate for purposes of determining calculated from: (1) the expir checked. Any reply received patent term adjustment. See	g the period of exte ation date of the sh by the Office later t	ension and the correspor nortened statutory period	nding amount o I for reply origir	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing the Notice a Notice of App	oppeal was filed on of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(eal has been filed, any rep	a)), or any exten	sion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS						
(a) They rais	amendment(s) filed after a e new issues that would re e the issue of new matter (quire further con	sideration and/or sear			ecause
` <i>'</i> == '	not deemed to place the a		•	materially red	lucing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They pres	sent additional claims witho 	_	orresponding number	of finally reje	ected claims.	
	nts are not in compliance v		1 See attached Notic	e of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
	bly has overcome the follow				p.iidiite / iiiidiidiite	(1 102 02 1).
	ed or amended claim(s)			a separate, t	imely filed amendme	ent canceling the
how the new or The status of th	of appeal, the proposed ame amended claims would be se claim(s) is (or will be) as	rejected is provi			be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowe Claim(s) object Claim(s) rejecte	ed to:	. 1				
Claim(s) withdr	awn from consideration:	<u></u> .				
because applic	other evidence filed after a ant failed to provide a show presented. See 37 CFR 1	ving of good and				
 The affidavit or entered because 	other evidence filed after t se the affidavit or other evid d and sufficient reasons wh	he date of filing a dence failed to ov	rercome all rejections	under appea	I and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a
	r other evidence is entered CONSIDERATION/OTHER	I. An explanation	of the status of the cl	laims after er	itry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for See Continua	or reconsideration has been tion Sheet.	n considered but	does NOT place the	application in	condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attac	hed Information Disclosure	e Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14	149) Paper N	o(s)	
13.					la la	rest.
					ANTI-CHILL	^ ·. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Application No. 09/627,558

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As stated in the Final office action, Buffam US 6,185,316 can be relied on for biometric authentication including voice, with Kanevsky US 5,897,616 only being relied upon to teach random questions. However Kanevsky also teaches analysis of voice samples for correctness matching to previously sampled voice data...